City of Las Vegas

Agenda Item No.: 89.

ADDDOVAL C DECEIVED REFORE.

0

## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2007

<b>DEPARTMENT</b>	: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT		
<b>DIRECTOR:</b>	M. MARGO WHEELER	<b>⊠</b> Consent	Discussion

#### **SUBJECT:**

**EXTENSION OF TIME - REZONING** 

DDOTECTS DECEIVED REFORE.

EOT-20771 - APPLICANT: JAMES E. STROH, ARCHITECT, INC. - OWNER: DECATUR III, LLC - Request for an Extension of Time of an approved Rezoning (Z-0018-01) FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: C-1 (Limited Commercial) on 3.6 acres at the northwest corner of Decatur Boulevard and Tropical Parkway (APN 125-25-601-022), Ward 6 (Ross). Staff recommends APPROVAL

TRUTES IS RECEIVED DEFUND	AFFROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:			
Planning Commission Mtg.	0	Planning	Commission Mtg.	0

City Council Meeting City Council Meeting

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

- 1. Location and Aerial Maps
- 2. Conditions and Staff Report
- 3. Supporting Documentation
- 4. Justification Letter
- 5. City Council approval letter for EOT-6798

Motion made by STEVEN D. ROSS to Hold in Abeyance Items 89 and 90 to 6/06/2007

Passed For: 7; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0 BRENDA J. WILLIAMS, LOIS TARKANIAN, LARRY BROWN, OSCAR B. GOODMAN, GARY REESE, STEVE WOLFSON, STEVEN D. ROSS; (Against-None); (Abstain-None); (Did Not Vote-None); (Excused-None)

#### Minutes:

COUNCILMAN REESE requested that Items 89 and 90 be pulled from Consent to allow for discussion.

JIM STROH, JSR Architect, 1955 Pama Lane, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

COUNCILMAN ROSS noted that this is the third request for an extension of time on this project, and residents are very much concerned about what is going on. His and the neighbors' previous requests were ignored, and they are still waiting to see viable development.

# City of Las Vegas Agenda Item No.: 89.

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 16, 2007** 

MR. STROH respectfully requested approving the extension of time request. Development has changed alongside the Beltway, and it has been very difficult in completing the project with those changes. The property is in escrow and should it not be approved, it would be detrimental for the applicant.

COUNCILMAN ROSS pointed out that this is a prime piece of property and would like for the applicant to review their proposal and design something that will work. With that, he suggested a two-week abeyance but urged the applicant to meet with him and keep the residents involved.

